

## आयुक्त(अपील )का कार्यालय, Office of the Commissioner (Appeal),

Office of the Commissioner (Appeal), केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील आयुक्तालय,अहमदाबाद Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate, Ahmedabad जीएसटी भवन, राजस्त्रमार्गं, अस्वावाडीअहमदाबाद्दे८०१५. CGST Bhavan, Revenue Marx, Ambavadi, Ahmedabad 380015

टेलेफैक्स07926305136



. 07926305065 DIN: 20231164SW0000666D80

# स्पीड पोस्ट

- - ख अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal No. AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-176/2023-24 दिनींक Date: 28-11-2023 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue 30.11.2023 आयवल (क्ष्मील) द्वारा पारिव
- Passed by Shri Gyan Chand Jain, Commissioner (Appeals)
- ৰ Arising out of OIO No. MP/297/DC/DN-IV/2022-23 বিনীয়: 10.03.2023 passed by The Deputy Commissioner, CGST, Division-IV, Ahmedabad South.
  - व अपीलकर्ता का नामए वं पता Name & Address

Appellant It/s. Agnya Sudhirbhai Shah, Suhag Arts, 86, Girivar Bunglows, Ramwadi, Isanpur, Ahmedabad-382443.

केई या वित इस अपील आवेश से असंतोष अनुमद करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यद्याश्यित नीघे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीवन आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way:

🔾 भारत सरकार का पुनशेवाण आवेदन

Revision application to Government of India:

(१) कंन्द्रीय उत्पादन सुरक अधिनियम, 1894 की बारा अंतत नीचे बताए गए नामलों के बारे में पूरोबत धारा को उप-बारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरिक्षण आवेदन अकीन सकिद, भारत सरकार, विता मंत्रालय, राजस्त रिभाग, बौधी मंत्रिल, जीवन वीय भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।

(i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Jeeven Deep Bullding, Parliament Street, New Dahl - 110 071 under Section 3SEE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first provisor to sub-section (1) of Section-35 bid:

(i) यदि मात की शानि के मामले में जब ऐसी श्रानिकार खाने के किसी मण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी मण्डानार से दूसरे मण्डानार में माल ते जाते हुए माने में, या किसी मण्डानार या भण्डार में चावे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी मण्डानार में हो मात की प्रक्रिया के दौरान हुई हो।

(ii) In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

- (क) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्वातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलें में जो मास्त के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।
- (A) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- वि शुक्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्धात किया गया माल हो।
- (B) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of

अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुरूक के मुनतान के लिए जो उन्द्री केश्विट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस बारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अवीत के द्वाच चारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्ता अधिनियम (मंट) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

- (c) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.
  - (1) केन्द्रीय चरवादन शुरूबक (क्योंक) नियमकरसी, 2021 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रध्य मंद्रण श्रूप-8 में यो प्रतियों में प्रिमेल अस्तर के प्रति आरंक मिला दिनीक से तीन मान के मीतरहरू-अधीरत एवं करोत आरंक्ष की दो-मा मिली के काम विकेत आरंक्षन किया मा मार्क्डिए तक्कर के मार्च काम दक्षा इक मुख्य में के अंतर्गत बारा 55-इ में निम्नासित की के चुमातन के समुद्रा के साथ टीआप-6 माराम की प्रति भी क्षेत्री स्वीरंग

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-B as specified under Rule, of Central Existe (Appendix Pales, 2014 With 3 morths from the date on which the order acceptable against is communicated and shall be accompanied by an order of the O(3 and Order-IA-Append. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-B Challam evidencing payment of prescribed see as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिरियान आवेदन के साथ जड़ी संस्तान एकम एक लाख कराये या उससे कम होतो समये 200/-फीस मुगतान की चाए और जारों संस्तानरकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस मुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवा कर अपीतीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपीतः— Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

केन्द्रीय उत्पादन सुल्क अभिनियम, 1944 की मारा 35—बी/35—इ के अंतर्गत:-

Under Section 35B/35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

- (क) उक्तिशिक्षत परिष्ठेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलों के मामले में शीमा सुनक, केन्द्रीय जरपादन सुनक एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरना<u>सिस्टेट</u>) की परिचन क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में 2<sup>nd</sup> माला, बहुमाली भवन , असरवा , गिरधरनागर, अहमदाबाद–380004
- (a) To the weet regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tex Appeliate Tribunal (CESTAT) at 2" Floor, Bahumai Bhawan, Asarwa, Girchar Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380004. In case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.

The appeal to the Appealest Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Exclase/Appea, Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,0004. Re5, 50004- of Rs.1,0004 where amount of duty! peanly! demand refund supto 5 Lan, 5 Lao to 50 Lae and shows 50 Lao respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in feature of Appeal and the State of State of

(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समादेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मुद्रा औदश के लिए फीत का पुरावान पर्याृक्त बंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि तिस्ता पढ़ी कार्य से बचने के लिए समास्थिति अमीतीय न्यायाहिकरण को एक अधीक या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आदेवन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is

- filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs. 100/- for each.

  प्यायालय सुरुक्तप्रधिनियन 1970 रमणार्वशीधित की अनुसूचि-। के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उस्त
  आरोदन या मुस्तारीच व्यासियति निर्मान मोकिशनी के आदेश में से म्रारोक की एक प्रतिचार स्त.50 पैसे
  कार्याधायक स्ताक किट करना में प्रणा चित्रि।
  - One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.
- (5) इन और संबंधित मानलों को नियंत्रन करने वाले नियमों की और वी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा सुरुक, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन सुरुक एवं सेवाकर अवीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निष्ठित

Attention is invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

श्रीमा सुरूक, केन्द्रीय छत्पादन सुरूक एयं सेवाकण अधीतीय न्यायाधिकरण[सिस्टेट], के प्रतिक्रमीलो के मामले में कर्डकमंग्याध्यक्रमा एवं विद्यास्त्रीए का 10% पूर्व कमा करना अनिवार्ष है। एसाधिन, अधिकतम पूर्व प्रमा 10 करोड़ रुपए हैं (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 38 & Socions 60 of the Financo Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवाकर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्वव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded)-

(Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राधि;
 हण शिया गतात सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राखि:

यण सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तस्त देय राशि.

चह पूर्व जमा 'संवित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील' शक्तित करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount hall not exceed Rs. 10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT, (section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise At, 1944, Section 35 S action 86 of the Finance At, 1949.

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:
(i) amount determined under Section 11 D;

(ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;

(iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

इस आदेख के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष बार्त शुरूक अथवा जुरूक वा दण्ड विवादित हो तो मौंग किए गए शुरूक के 10% भूगतान पर और बार्रों केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भूगतान पर की जा सकती हैं।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or smally where penalty alone is in dispute.

#### ORDER IN APPEAL

The present appeal has been filed by M/s. Agnya Sudhirbhai Shah, Suhag Arts, 86, Girivar Bunglows, Ramwadi, Isanpur, Ahmedabad-382 443 (hereinafter referred to as "the Appellants") against Order in Original No. MP/297/DC/Div.-IV/22-23 dated 10.03.2023 (hereinafter referred to as "the impugned order") passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Central GST, Division-IV (Naroll), Ahmedabad South (hereinafter referred to as "the adjudicating authority").

- Briefly stated, the facts of the case are that the 2 Appellants were holding Service Tax Registration No. CBEPS9817CST001. On scrutiny of the data received from the Central Board of Direct Taxes (CBDT), it was noticed that the Appellants had declared less gross value in their Service Tax Returns (ST-3) for the F.Y. 2015-16 as compared to the gross value declared by them in their Income Tax Return (ITR)/TDS Returns. Accordingly, it appeared that the Appellants had misdeclared the gross value of sales of service in the service tax returns and short paid /not paid the applicable service tax. The Appellants were called upon to submit copies of relevant documents for assessment for the said period. However, the Appellants neither submitted any required details/documents explaining the reason for the difference raised between gross value declared in ST-3 Returns and Income Tax Return (ITR)/TDS nor responded to the letter in any manner. Therefore, the Appellants were issued Show Cause Notice wherein it was proposed to:
- Demand and recover an amount of Rs. 3,32,519/- under proviso to Sub Section (1) of Section 73 of the Finance Act, 1994; along with interest under section 75 of the



- Finance Act 1994 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act').
- Impose penalty under the provisions of Section 77 (1) & 77(2) and 78 of the Act.
- The SCN was adjudicated ex-parte vide the impugned order wherein:
- The demand of service tax amounting to Rs. 3,32,519/was confirmed along with interest.
- Penalty amounting to Rs. 3,32,519/- was imposed under section 78(l) of the Act.
- Penalty amounting to Rs. 10,000/- was imposed under section 77(2) of the Act.
- Penalty as applicable on the Appellants under section 77(1) of the Act.
  - Being aggrieved with the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority, the Appellants have preferred the present appeal on the following grounds:
- The Appellants are engaged in the business of providing taxable service of "advertising agency service". The 'advertising agency' entered into contract with their clients for providing "advertisement agency service'. The 'Advertising agent' render 'advertising agency service' to various clients in the form of creative agency wherein, they create advertisement by themselves or their third party media agency wherein, they do media printing and/ or buying for advertisement to be published in print/electronic media. They are receiving 15% Agency Commission from authorized Broadcasting and Print media.
  - In the present case the Print media or authorized broadcasting media gives a discount of 15% to the Advertising agency. If the tariff rate is

sufficient the Advertising Agency pay the media Rs. 85/along with applicable Service Tax. The Advertising Agency has not received any amount from the media nor has the media paid any consideration to the Advertising Agency. The Appellants has only availed the discount of 15 % as Commission.

- The advertisement can be done in various ways either through Print media or through Radio or Television, etc. In order to fulfill the requirement of his client the advertising agency i.e. the Appellants gets in touch with the appropriate media. In other words as far as the advertising agency is concerned its client is not the media. In this case the client of the advertising agency is service receiver and the advertising agency is service provider. This aspect can be further supported with the fact that it is only the client who is deducting the TDS under the Income Tax Act. The media such as broadcasting agency charges the advertising agency for insertion of the advertisement either in Print Media or in Television.
- In the instant case the "Advertising Agent" has purchased the Space or Time Slot for Advertisement from the Media. Hence no service is rendered by the Advertising Agency to the media.
- Now for the calculation of Service Tax, the gross amount received by the 'Advertising agency' (Service provider) from its client will be the value of the taxable service. Moreover, as the consideration (gross amount) received by the 'Advertising agency' has been shown as an Income in the Profit & Loss A/c is sufficient to be charged with Service tax on the entire consideration received. The argument of the 'Advertising agency' that the amount of SS percent which it pays to the Media for



the purchase of SPACE or TIME SLOT (as the case may bel is claimed as the reimbursement of the expenditure made by them is nullified as the said consideration has been shown as an income in the Profit & Loss A/c. The exemption from the payment of service tax is plausible under the "reimbursement" concept where the 'Advertising agency' fulfills all of the stipulations prescribed for the "pure agent" under Service Tax (Determination of Valuation) Rules, 2006. Other than the above, if the 'advertising agency' receives any consideration from the Media as a Commission for arranging/finding Customers for the Media in relation to their Sale of SPACE or TIME-SLOT (as the case may be), the said consideration amount received by the 'Advertising Agency' is also liable to Service Tax under the Taxable services of "business auxiliary service".

The activity of the media is selling of Space or Time Slots for advertisement, which is classified under 105(zzzzm) of Section 65 of the Act; on the other hand the activity of the "Advertising Agency" is to make necessary arrangements to have the matter of its client advertised in the media. The Appellants relied on the following case laws: (1) The Hon'ble CESTAT, Ahmedabad in the matter of M/s Drishty Communication Pvt. Ltd. v. CCE & ST-Rajkot [Service Tax Appeal No. 135 of 2012 dtd. 05th January, 2023], (2) Euro Rscg. Advertising Ltd. and.....v. CCE on 27th December, 2006 Equivalent citations; 2007 9 STJ 56 CESTAT Bangalore, 2007 7 STR 277. (3) Grev Worldwide (I) Pvt. Ltd. v. Commissioner of Service Tax on 30th July, 2014 in the Hon'ble CESTAT, West Zonal Bench at Mumbai, Appeal No. ST/300 & 325/09.

> The Appellants have already paid service an amoun

received from clients/customers, in over business 15% on amount received from customers, commission on selling of printing slot.

- The demand of interest and penalty is not sustainable in view of unsustainability of the demand of input tax credit. Service tax has not been payable as the department could not prove the allegation with the support of any corroborative evidences.
- 5. Personal Hearing in the case was held on 09.11.2023. Shri Dhaval Movaliya, C.A., appeared on behalf of the Appellants for the hearing. He stated that the Appellants are advertising agency and get 15% commission from the newspaper. It is also stated that the clients of the Appellants cut TDS on 100% amount. That is why the demand on 100% amount was confirmed. They have paid the applicable tax and filled the ST-3. Therefore the OIO should be set aside and appeal should be allowed.
- 6. The Appellants have submitted following documents (A) copy of income Tax Return, (B) copy of P & L Account and Balance Sheet and copy of ledger summary in respect of F/x. 2015-16, (C) copy of ST-3 Returns and sample invoices issued to various clients and copy of invoice received from the Times Group (D) cory of Form 26AS for F/x 2015-16.
- 7. I have gone through the facts of the case, submission made in the Appeal Memorandum, the submission made at the time of personal hearing and oral submissions made at the time of personal hearing, The issue before me for decision is whether the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority confirming demand of service tax amount of Rs. 3,32,519/- along with interest and penalties, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, is legal and proper or otherwise. The dispute pertains to the psyght/Zy 2015-16.

8. It is noticed that in the instant case the Appellants holding Service tax registration No. OBEPS9817CSTOO1 are engaged in providing taxable services of Advertising Agency Service. The taxable service in respect of advertising agency is defined in Section 65 (105) (e) in the following manner:

to a client, by an advertising agency in relation to advertisement in any manner.

9. In the present case a person or an organization who wants to advertise their product approaches an advertising agency. Therefore such a person / organization who want to avail the services of advertising agency become the client of the advertising agency. This aspect can be further supported with the fact that it is only the client who is deducting the TDS under the Income Tax Act. The advertisement can be done in various ways either through Print Media or through Radio or Television, etc. In order to fulfill the requirements of his client the advertising agency which is the service provider gets in touch with the appropriate media. In other words as far as the advertising agency is concerned, its client is not the media. In order to provide advertising agency service the Appellants charge certain amounts from their clients, which is inclusive of amount that has to be paid to media for insertion of the advertisement either in Print Media or in Television. The Appellants have demonstrated by the given example as shown under that they have received income only to the extent of around 15% from the media in the form of discount-

If the tariff rate is Rs. 100/- the media charges Rs. 85/- and the Appellants get Rs. 15/- towards discounts, which is an actual income in the hand of the Appellants and on that amount they discharge service tax received from their clients.

10. However, on going through the impugned which

was issued ex-parte, the adjudicating authority has neither of considered the factual position nor the legality of the entire issue and demanded service tax on the whole amount received by the Appellants from the service provided by them on the basis of mere data collected from income Tax Return without excluding the amount which was paid to media for the purchase of space or Time Slot. The demand of service tax confirmed by the adjudicating authority is shown as under:

Period	"Value difference in ITR and STR"	Total rate of duty	Amount of Service Tax not paid
2015-16	22,95,310	14.5%	3,32,519
		Total	3,32,519

11. I find that the Appellants had paid service tax for the impugned period and also filed service tax Return. On the basis of data received from Service tax Returns (ST-3) submitted by the Appellants the details of taxable amount and service tax paid by the Appellants in the impugned period is shown as underr-

F.Y. 2015-16			
Period	Taxable amount	Service Tax paid	
April-September	1,16,752	14,821	
October-March	2,96,750	42,669	
Total	4,13,502	57,490	

- 12. In view of the above findings, the impugned order has no merits. Since the demand of service tax is not sustainable on merits there does not arise any question of interest or penalty in the matter.
- 13. Accordingly, in view of my foregoing discussions, I set aside the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority for being not legal and proper and allow the appeal filed by the Appellants.

14. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दायर अपील का निपटान उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

The appeal filed by the Appellants stands disposed of in above terms.

ज्ञानचंद जैन आयुक्त (अपील्स) Dated: १४ -11.2023

Appellants

Respondent

Attested (अपल्य) अधीक्षक (अपील्य) सीजी ऐसाटी अहमदाबाद

### BY RPAD/ SPEED POST

M/s. Agnya Sudhirbhai Shah, Suhag Arts,

86, Girivar Bunglows, Ramwadi ,Isanpur, Ahmedabad-382 443

The Deputy Commissioner
Division-IV (Narol), CGST & Central Excise
Ahmedabad South

#### Copy to:

- 1. The Principal Chief Commissioner, Central GST, Ahmedabad Zone
- 2. The Commissioner, CGST, Ahmedabad South
- 3. The Deputy Commissioner, Division -IV, Central GST, Ahmedabad South.
- The Assistant Commissioner [HQ System], CGST, Ahmedabad South (for uploading the O[A])
- 5. Guard File
- PA file



